Vitushkin's conjecture and sets with plenty of big projections

Damian Dąbrowski

Based on joint work with Michele Villa



Removable sets

A compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is removable for bounded analytic functions if for any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing E, each bounded analytic function $f: \Omega \setminus E \to \mathbb{C}$ has an analytic extension to Ω .



Removable sets

A compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is removable for bounded analytic functions if for any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing E, each bounded analytic function $f: \Omega \setminus E \to \mathbb{C}$ has an analytic extension to Ω .



Removable sets

A compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is removable for bounded analytic functions if for any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing E, each bounded analytic function $f: \Omega \setminus E \to \mathbb{C}$ has an analytic extension to Ω .



In 1947 Ahlfors characterized removability in terms of **analytic capacity**:

E is removable
$$\,\,\, \Leftrightarrow \,\,\, \gamma(E) =$$
 0,

where

$$\begin{split} \gamma(E) &= \sup\{|f'(\infty)| \ : \ f : \mathbb{C} \setminus E \to \mathbb{C} \text{ analytic, } \|f\|_{\infty} \leq 1\}, \\ f'(\infty) &= \lim_{z \to \infty} z(f(z) - f(\infty)). \end{split}$$

Painlevé Problem

Find a geometric characterization of removable compact sets, i.e. compact sets with $\gamma(E) = 0$.

Painlevé Problem

Find a geometric characterization of removable compact sets, i.e. compact sets with $\gamma(E) = 0$.

Facts:

- If $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$, then $\gamma(E) = 0$.
- If dim_H(E) > 1, then $\gamma(E) > 0$.

Painlevé Problem

Find a geometric characterization of removable compact sets, i.e. compact sets with $\gamma(E) = 0$.

Facts:

- If $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$, then $\gamma(E) = 0$.
- If dim_H(E) > 1, then $\gamma(E) > 0$.
- If *E* is a segment, then $\gamma(E) = c \mathcal{H}^1(E)$.

Painlevé Problem

Find a geometric characterization of removable compact sets, i.e. compact sets with $\gamma(E) = 0$.

Facts:

- If $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$, then $\gamma(E) = 0$.
- If dim_H(E) > 1, then $\gamma(E) > 0$.
- If *E* is a segment, then $\gamma(E) = c \mathcal{H}^1(E)$.

Question

 $\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0?$

Painlevé Problem

Find a geometric characterization of removable compact sets, i.e. compact sets with $\gamma(E) = 0$.

Facts:

- If $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0$, then $\gamma(E) = 0$.
- If dim_H(E) > 1, then $\gamma(E) > 0$.
- If *E* is a segment, then $\gamma(E) = c \mathcal{H}^1(E)$.

Question

 $\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}^1(E) = 0? \text{ No!}$

There are sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma(E) = 0$ and $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. (Vitushkin 1959, Garnett, Ivanov 1970s)

Vitushkin's conjecture

The sets constructed by Vitushkin, Garnett and Ivanov had very small projections. More precisely, they satisfied

 $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_\theta(E))=0$

for a.e. direction $\theta \in [0, \pi]$.

The sets constructed by Vitushkin, Garnett and Ivanov had very small projections. More precisely, they satisfied

 $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_\theta(E))=0$

for a.e. direction $\theta \in [0, \pi]$.

Define Favard length of E as

$$\mathsf{Fav}(E) = \int_0^{\pi} \mathcal{H}^1(\pi_{\theta}(E)) \ d\theta.$$

Vitushkin's conjecture

$$\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Fav}(E) = 0$$

A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is **rectifiable** if there exists a countable number of 1-dimensional Lipschitz graphs Γ_i such that

$$\mathcal{H}^1\left(E\setminus\bigcup_i\Gamma_i\right)=0.$$

A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is **rectifiable** if there exists a countable number of 1-dimensional Lipschitz graphs Γ_i such that

$$\mathcal{H}^1\left(E\setminus\bigcup_i \Gamma_i\right)=0.$$

We say that $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is **purely unrectifiable** if for every 1-dimensional Lipschitz graph Γ

 $\mathcal{H}^1(F\cap \Gamma)=0.$















- an elementary argument gives $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(K) < \infty$ and Fav(K) = 0,
- $\cdot \gamma(K) = 0$ (Garnett, Ivanov 70s)

Projections and rectifiability

Theorem (Besicovitch 1939) Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. Then

E is purely unrectifiable \Leftrightarrow Fav(*E*) = 0.



Projections and rectifiability

Theorem (Besicovitch 1939) Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. Then

E is purely unrectifiable \Leftrightarrow Fav(*E*) = 0.



Beware of sets with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty!$

Besicovitch projection theorem fails terribly for sets with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty$: there exist purely unrectifiable sets *E* with Fav(E) > 0.

Example: $E = C \times C$, where C is the middle-thirds Cantor set.



Vitushkin's conjecture

$$\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Fav}(E) = 0$$

In the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ Vitushkin's conjecture is **true**! (Calderón '77, David '98)

Vitushkin's conjecture

$$\gamma(E) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{Fav}(E) = 0$$

In the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ Vitushkin's conjecture is **true**! (Calderón '77, David '98)

In the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty$, Vitushkin's conjecture is **false** (Mattila '86).

Open problems

Theorem (Jones-Murai '88)

There exists a set with Fav(E) = 0 and $\gamma(E) > 0$.

Open problems

Theorem (Jones-Murai '88)

There exists a set with Fav(E) = 0 and $\gamma(E) > 0$.

Problem 1 (qualitative)

$$Fav(E) > 0 \implies \gamma(E) > 0?$$

Open for sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $\dim_H(E) = 1$ and non- σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure.

Open problems

Theorem (Jones-Murai '88)

There exists a set with Fav(E) = 0 and $\gamma(E) > 0$.

Problem 1 (qualitative)

$$Fav(E) > 0 \implies \gamma(E) > 0?$$

Open for sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ with dim_{*H*}(E) = 1 and non- σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure.

Problem 2 (quantitative)

 $\gamma(E) \gtrsim Fav(E)?$ $\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{Fav(E)} 1?$

Open even in the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$.

What happens in the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$?

$$Fav(E) > 0 \implies \gamma(E) > 0?$$

Theorem (Besicovitch 1939) Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. If Fav(E) > 0, then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ with

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E\cap \Gamma)>0.$

What happens in the case $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$?

$$Fav(E) > 0 \Rightarrow \gamma(E) > 0?$$

Theorem (Besicovitch 1939) Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. If Fav(E) > 0, then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ with

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E\cap \Gamma)>0.$

If $0 < \mathcal{H}^{1}(E) < \infty$ and Fav(E) > 0, we get $\gamma(E) \ge \gamma(E \cap \Gamma) \overset{(Calderón '77)}{>} 0.$

If $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and Fav(E) > 0, then by the Besicovitch projection theorem we get

$$\gamma(E) \geq \gamma(E \cap \Gamma) > 0.$$

Problems:

If $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and Fav(E) > 0, then by the Besicovitch projection theorem we get

$$\gamma(E) \geq \gamma(E \cap \Gamma) > 0.$$

Problems:

1. The Besicovitch projection theorem fails for sets with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty!$

If $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and Fav(E) > 0, then by the Besicovitch projection theorem we get

$$\gamma(E) \geq \gamma(E \cap \Gamma) > 0.$$

Problems:

- 1. The Besicovitch projection theorem fails for sets with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty!$
- 2. There are estimates on $\gamma(E \cap \Gamma)$ depending on $\mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma)$, e.g. if Γ is an *L*-Lipschitz graph, then

 $\gamma(E \cap \Gamma) \gtrsim_L \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma)...$

If $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and Fav(E) > 0, then by the Besicovitch projection theorem we get

$$\gamma(E) \geq \gamma(E \cap \Gamma) > 0.$$

Problems:

- 1. The Besicovitch projection theorem fails for sets with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) = \infty!$
- 2. There are estimates on $\gamma(E \cap \Gamma)$ depending on $\mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma)$, e.g. if Γ is an *L*-Lipschitz graph, then

 $\gamma(E \cap \Gamma) \gtrsim_L \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma)...$

...but the Besicovitch projection theorem gives **no quantitative bound** neither on $\mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma)$, nor on Lip(Γ)! New results

Sets with plenty of big projections

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has plenty of big projections (PBP) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E)we have a direction $\theta_{x,r} \in [0, \pi)$ such that

 $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\pi_{\theta}(E \cap B(x, r))) \geq \delta r \quad \text{for all} \quad |\theta - \theta_{x, r}| < \delta.$



Sets with plenty of big projections

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has plenty of big projections (PBP) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E)we have a direction $\theta_{x,r} \in [0, \pi)$ such that

 $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_{\theta}(E \cap B(x, r))) \geq \delta r \text{ for all } |\theta - \theta_{x,r}| < \delta.$



Sets with plenty of big projections

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has plenty of big projections (PBP) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E)we have a direction $\theta_{x,r} \in [0, \pi)$ such that

 $\mathcal{H}^1(\pi_{\theta}(E \cap B(x, r))) \geq \delta r \text{ for all } |\theta - \theta_{x,r}| < \delta.$

Theorem (D.-Villa) If a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has PBP, then $\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{\delta} \operatorname{diam}(E).$

An analogous result holds in higher dimension and codimension for capacities $\Gamma_{d,n}(E)$.

Two crucial blackboxes

For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the set of Radon measures satisfying:

 $\cdot \, \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \mathit{E}\text{,}$

For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the set of Radon measures satisfying:

- $\cdot \, \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \mathit{E}\text{,}$
- $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r$,

For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the set of Radon measures satisfying:

- $\cdot \, \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \mathit{E}\text{,}$
- $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r$,
- \cdot a flatness condition

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \, \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \, \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \le \mu(E).$$

For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the set of Radon measures satisfying:

- $\cdot \, \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \mathit{E}\text{,}$
- $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r$,
- a flatness condition

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \, \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \, \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \le \mu(E).$$

Examples:

- $\mathcal{L}^2|_{[0,1]^2} \in \mathcal{F}([0,1]^2)$
- $\cdot \ \mathcal{H}^1|_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ for any 1-Lipschitz graph Γ
- $\mathcal{F}(K) = \{0\}$ for the 4-corner Cantor set K

For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}(E)$ be the set of Radon measures satisfying:

- $\cdot \, \operatorname{supp} \mu \subset \mathit{E}\text{,}$
- $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r$,
- a flatness condition

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \, \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \, \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \leq \mu(E).$$

Theorem (Tolsa 2005, Azzam-Tolsa 2015)

 $\gamma(E) \sim \sup\{\mu(E) : \mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)\}$

Takeaway: to show $\gamma(E) > 0$, it suffices to find a non-zero $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is **AD-regular** if for any $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E) we have

 $C^{-1}r \leq \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap B(x,r)) \leq Cr.$

We say that a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is **AD-regular** if for any $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E) we have

 $C^{-1}r \leq \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap B(x,r)) \leq Cr.$

An AD-regular set *E* contains **big pieces of Lipschitz graphs** (BPLG) if there exist C, L > 0 such that for every $x \in E$ and every 0 < r < diam(E) there exists an *L*-Lipschitz graph $\Gamma = \Gamma_{x,r}$ with

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma \cap B(x,r)) \geq Cr.$











Theorem (Besicovitch 1939)

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. If Fav(E) > 0, then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ with

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E\cap \Gamma)>0.$

Theorem (Besicovitch 1939)

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. If Fav(E) > 0, then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ with

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E\cap \Gamma)>0.$

Theorem (Orponen 2021)

Let *E* be AD-regular. If *E* has PBP, then it contains big pieces of Lipschitz graphs.

Theorem (David-Semmes 1991)

If E is AD-regular and has BPLG, then $\mu = \mathcal{H}^1|_E$ satisfies

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \lesssim \mu(E),$$

i.e. $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.

Corollary

If *E* is AD-regular and has PBP, then $\mu = \mathcal{H}^1|_E$ satisfies

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \lesssim \mu(E).$$

The proof

Theorem (D.-Villa)

If a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has PBP, then

```
\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{\delta} \operatorname{diam}(E).
```

Plan:

1. Take the usual Frostman measure μ on *E*. We'll show $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.

Theorem (D.-Villa)

If a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has PBP, then

```
\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{\delta} \operatorname{diam}(E).
```

Plan:

- 1. Take the usual Frostman measure μ on *E*. We'll show $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.
- 2. Multi-scale approximation of μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$ (corona decomposition).

Theorem (D.-Villa)

If a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has PBP, then

```
\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{\delta} \operatorname{diam}(E).
```

Plan:

- 1. Take the usual Frostman measure μ on *E*. We'll show $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.
- 2. Multi-scale approximation of μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$ (corona decomposition).
- 3. Each $\eta_{\rm R}$ has PBP; apply Orponen's result to get an estimate on $\beta_{\eta_{\rm R},2}$.

Theorem (D.-Villa)

If a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has PBP, then

```
\gamma(E) \gtrsim_{\delta} \operatorname{diam}(E).
```

Plan:

- 1. Take the usual Frostman measure μ on *E*. We'll show $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.
- 2. Multi-scale approximation of μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$ (corona decomposition).
- 3. Each η_R has PBP; apply Orponen's result to get an estimate on $\beta_{\eta_R,2}$.
- 4. Transfer the estimates to $\beta_{\mu,2}$ to conclude $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$.

Step 1. Frostman measure

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact set with PBP. Our goal is to find a non-zero measure $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, i.e. a measure μ supported on Esatisfying $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r$ and

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \le \mu(E).$$

Step 1. Frostman measure

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact set with PBP. Our goal is to find a non-zero measure $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, i.e. a measure μ supported on Esatisfying $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r$ and

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \le \mu(E).$$

Frostman's Lemma

Given a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists a measure μ supported on E such that $\mu(E) \sim \mathcal{H}^1_{\infty}(E)$ and

 $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r.$

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact set with PBP. Our goal is to find a non-zero measure $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$, i.e. a measure μ supported on Esatisfying $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r$ and

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \le \mu(E).$$

Frostman's Lemma

Given a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists a measure μ supported on E such that $\mu(E) \sim \mathcal{H}^1_{\infty}(E)$ and

 $\mu(B(x,r)) \leq r.$

Warning: It is **not** true that for any η with supp $\eta \subset E$ and linear growth we have $\eta \in \mathcal{F}(E)$!

Step 2. Approximation by AD-regular measures

We perform a multi-scale approximation of the Frostman measure μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$.



Step 2. Approximation by AD-regular measures

We perform a multi-scale approximation of the Frostman measure μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$.



Step 2. Approximation by AD-regular measures

We perform a multi-scale approximation of the Frostman measure μ by a family of AD-regular measures $\{\eta_R\}_{R \in \text{Roots}}$.





Steps 3 and 4. Approximating measures are flat

Each η_R inherits the PBP property from the set *E*. Since η_R are AD-regular, we get from Orponen's result

$$\iint \beta_{\eta_R,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\eta_R(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\eta_R(x) \lesssim \eta_R(E).$$

Steps 3 and 4. Approximating measures are flat

Each η_R inherits the PBP property from the set *E*. Since η_R are AD-regular, we get from Orponen's result

$$\iint \beta_{\eta_R,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\eta_R(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\eta_R(x) \lesssim \eta_R(E).$$

Summing over all $R \in \text{Roots}$ and using the fact that η_R approximate μ quite well, one can derive

$$\iint \beta_{\mu,2}(x,r)^2 \frac{\mu(B(x,r))}{r} \frac{dr}{r} d\mu(x) \lesssim \mu(E).$$

Hence, $\mu \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ and by the Azzam-Tolsa result

 $\gamma(E) \gtrsim \mu(E) \sim \mathcal{H}^1_\infty(E) \sim \operatorname{diam}(E).$

Questions

Can we replace PBP by "uniformly large Favard length":

Question

Suppose *E* is compact, and for all $x \in E$ and 0 < r < diam(E) we have $\text{Fav}(E \cap B(x, r)) \gtrsim r$. Does this imply $\gamma(E) \gtrsim \text{diam}(E)$?

This would immediately follow from the following:

Conjecture

Suppose $E \subset [0, 1]^2$ is AD-regular. If $Fav(E) \gtrsim 1$, then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ with $Lip(\Gamma) \lesssim 1$ and

 $\mathcal{H}^1(E \cap \Gamma) \gtrsim 1.$

How does PBP relate to the "*L*²-projections" property of Chang-Tolsa?

Theorem (Chang-Tolsa 2019)

Let $I \subset [0, \pi)$ be an interval. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is compact and it supports a measure μ such that $\pi_{\theta}\mu \in L^2$ for a.e. $\theta \in I$, then $\gamma(E) > 0$. More precisely,

$$\gamma(E) \gtrsim rac{\mu(E)^2}{\int_I \|\pi_{ heta}\mu\|_{L^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d} heta}$$

Thank you!